

September 11, 2019

Thomas A. Fanning Chairman, President and CEO The Southern Company 30 Ivan Allen Junior Blvd Northwest Atlanta, GA 30308

Dear Mr. Fanning,

The signatories and supporting asset managers and owners, representing more than US \$6.5 trillion in assets, are writing to share our expectations on the topic of corporate lobbying on climate change and to request information about how The Southern Company ensures that its lobbying activities are consistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement on climate change. We look forward to dialogue on this important issue.

As investors, we view fulfillment of the Paris Agreement's goal—to hold the increase in the global average temperature to "well below" 2°C above preindustrial levels, and to pursue efforts to limit the temperature increase to 1.5°C— as an imperative. We are convinced that unabated climate change will negatively impact our clients, plan beneficiaries, and the value of our portfolios. The IPCC's report issued in October 2018 makes it clear there is still time to avert serious consequences, but expanded action must begin immediately.

As investors, we are accustomed to managing risk and measuring our performance in terms of risk-adjusted returns. Climate change presents a very different challenge, however. We have entered a realm of increasing risk and uncertainty. Traditional techniques for managing these risks to our portfolios will only take us so far. Similarly, corporate commitments to embrace energy efficiency and set greenhouse gas reduction goals are necessary and welcome, but to facilitate the deployment of capital at a necessary pace and scale, a strong public policy framework is needed.

Currently, there are critical gaps between the pledges and commitments national governments have made and the actions required to stave off the worst effects of climate change. Companies have an important and constructive role to play in enabling policy-makers to close the 'ambition gap' which would also contribute positively to the long-term value of our investment portfolios.

Accordingly, when evaluating your company's response to climate related risks and opportunities, we will consider the alignment of your lobbying activities with our shared goals for a stable climate.

Corporate lobbying activities that are inconsistent with meeting the goals of the Paris Agreement present several financial risks to investors:

- **Regulatory risks:** Delay in action now will likely result in the need for stronger and more drastic regulatory interventions later, leading to much higher costs for companies.
- **Systemic economic risks:** Delay in the implementation of the Paris Agreement increases the physical risks of climate change which elevates uncertainty and volatility in our portfolios and poses a systemic risk to global economic stability.
- **Reputational and legal risks:** Companies may face backlash from their consumers, investors or other stakeholders if they, or the organizations they support, are seen to be delaying or blocking effective climate policy.

We write to you today because companies have significant influence on climate and energy policies and we are concerned about lobbying activities that are inconsistent with addressing the risks posed by climate change. In particular we ask for a review of your own corporate lobbying activities as well as the trade associations and other politically active organizations of which you are a member that represent business interests but, unfortunately often lobby against public policy initiatives addressing the climate crisis. We understand these organizations do not always speak for all their members, and that it is not reasonable to expect full agreement on every issue. Climate change, however, is a unique challenge that requires alignment at all levels of an organization.

We therefore ask you to take the following steps:

- 1. Ensure your own lobbying practices and those of trade associations in which you are a member align with the Investor Expectations on Corporate Climate Lobbying described in the Appendix to this letter.
- 2. Review the lobbying positions taken by the organizations of which you are a member.
- 3. If these lobbying activities are inconsistent with the goals of the Paris Agreement, we encourage you to engage the organization to ensure its positions are updated. If the organization is unwilling or unable to demonstrate alignment with the Paris Agreement, consider taking the steps necessary to disassociate your company from these policies.

We are pleased to report that a dozen European companies have already committed to these steps.

Thank you for your consideration of the Investor Expectations on Corporate Climate Lobbying. We look forward to seeing greater transparency from your company on these issues. Our goal is to assess company progress using the enclosed Investor Expectations as guiding standards. We ask that you make public by November 8, 2019, the steps that The Southern Company has taken or will take to align with these Expectations.

Please confirm receipt of this letter by emailing Rob Berridge @ <u>berridge@ceres.org</u>. An investor will follow up with your organization on the details of this request as part of the Climate Action 100+ engagement agenda. Sincerely,

Adam M. Kanzer Head of Stewardship - Americas

# **BNP Paribas Asset Management**

Simiso Nzima Investment Director – Global Equity / Head of Corporate Governance

# **CalPERS**

Bryan J. Pini, President & Chief Investment Officer

# **Mercy Investment Services**

Thomas P. DiNapoli New York State Comptroller

# New York State Common Retirement Fund

fill the

William Apfel, Executive Managing Director

# **Boston Trust Walden Company**

Kirsty Jenkinson Director of Sustainable Investment & Stewardship Strategies

### CalSTRS

Scott Stringer New York City Comptroller

# New York City Comptroller's Office

Jull

David H. Zellner, Chief Investment Officer

Wespath Benefits & Investments

This request is supported by the following investors who have asked to be kept informed of company responses to this request.

#### Investment Managers and Mutual Funds

ACTIAM Addenda Capital **AGF** Investments Arjuna Capital Australian Ethical Investment **BMO Global Asset Management Boston Common Asset Management** Calvert Research and Management CANDRIAM CCLA CleanCapital ClearBridge Investments **Common Interests Dana Investment Advisors Degroof Petercam Devon Funds Management Domini Impact Investments LLC** Ecofi Investissements Figure 8 Investment Strategies First Affirmative Financial Network Folksam Friends Fiduciary Corporation **GESTION Férique** Green Century Capital Management Harrington Investments, Inc. Hermes Investment Management Impact Investors Inherent Group Jantz Management LLC Legal & General Investment Management LocalTapiola Asset Management Ltd Maitri Asset Management Miller/Howard Investments, Inc. MFS Investment Management Natural Investments **NEI** Investments Newground Social Investment Nia Impact Capital **NN Investment Partners** NorthStar Asset Management, Inc. Öhman Oneida Nation - Oneida Trust Enrollment Committee Pax World Funds Plato Investment Management Limited **Quantum Financial Planning LLC Rathbone Greenbank Investments** 

#### Pension Funds

AP2 **AP3 Third Swedish National Pension Fund** AP4 (Fourth Swedish National Pension Fund) AP7 AustralianSuper Avera Health Bâtirente Bayerische Versorgungskammer British Columbia Investment Management Corporation (BCI) Cbus Super Connecticut Retirement Plans and Trust Funds **Environment Agency Pension Fund** Första AP-fonden (AP1) Illinois State Treasurer's Office MN MP Pension National Employment Savings Trust (NEST) P+ (DIP/JOEP) RÉGIME DE RETRAITE DE L'UNIVERSITÉ DE MONTRÉAL **RPMI** Railpen San Francisco Employees' Retirement System (SFERS) Seattle City Employees' Retirement System The AP Funds' Council on Ethics **USS - Universities Superannuation Scheme** Vermont Pension Investment Committee Washington State Investment Board

Reynders, McVeigh Capital Management LLC Robeco RRSE (Regroupement pour la Responsabilité Sociale des Entreprises) Sarasin & Partners SharePower Responsible Investing Skandia Skye Advisors LLC SRI Investing LLC Stance Capital, LLC Sustainable Insight Capital Management Terra Alpha Investments The Sustainability Group of Loring, Wolcott & Coolidge **Trillium Asset Management** Vert Asset Management Vision Super Pty Ltd Wetherby Asset Management Zevin Asset Management

### **Faith-Based Investors**

Adrian Dominican Sisters, Portfolio Advisory Board **Christian Brothers Investment Services** Church Commissioners for England Church of England Pensions Board Committee on Mission Responsibility Through Investment of the Presbyterian Church U.S.A. Congregation of Sisters of St. Agnes Congregation of St. Joseph Daughters of Charity, Province of St. Louise **Domestic & Foreign Missionary Society** (The Episcopal Church) Dominican Sisters ~ Grand Rapids **Dominican Sisters of Hope** Everence and the Praxis Mutual Funds Interfaith Center on Corporate Responsibility Jesuit Committee on Investment Responsibility JLens Investor Network Leadership Team - Felician Sisters of North America Maryknoll Sisters Northwest Coalition for Responsible Investment **Oblate International Pastoral Investment** Trust Priests of the Sacred Heart, US Province **Region VI Coalition for Responsible** Investment

# **Foundations**

444 S Foundation **Christopher Reynolds Foundation Community Foundation of Eastern** Connecticut Green America **Gwen Noyes** Park Foundation Inc. Sant Foundation School Sisters of St. Francis Skoll Foundation The Educational Foundation of America (EFA) The Needmor Fund The Summit Foundation **Tides Foundation** Wallace Global Fund

School Sisters of Notre Dame Cooperative Investment Fund Sisters of Charity of Nazareth Sisters of Charity, Halifax Sisters of Mary Reparatrix Sisters of Saint Joseph of Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, PA Sisters of ST. Dominic of Caldwell NJ Sisters of St. Francis Charitable Trust, Dubuque Sisters of St. Francis of Philadelphia Sisters of St. Joseph of Boston Sisters of the Humility of Mary Sisters of the Presentation of the BVM of Aberdeen SD Socially Responsible Investment Coalition **Tri-State Coalition for Responsible** Investment **Trinity Health** U.S Missionary Oblates of Mary Immaculate United Church Funds Ursuline Sisters of Tildonk, U.S. Province Ursuline Sisters of Toledo

### **Engagement Service Providers**

Aequo, Shareholder Engagement Services As You Sow Hermes EOS Local Authority Pension Fund Forum Seventh Generation Interfaith Inc. Shareholder Association for Research and Education Veris Wealth Partners

# Ethos Foundation, Switzerland & Members

Aargauische Pensionskasse (APK), Switzerland Bernische Lehrerversicherungskasse, Switzerland Bernische Pensionskasse BPK, Switzerland Caisse de pension des sociétés Hewlett-Packard en Suisse, Switzerland Caisse de pensions de l'Etat de Vaud (CPEV), Switzerland Caisse de pensions ECA-RP, Switzerland Caisse de prév. des Fonctionnaires de Police & des Etablissements Pénitentiaires, Switzerland Caisse de Prévoyance de l'Etat de Genève (CPEG). Switzerland Caisse de Prévoyance des Interprètes de Conférence (CPIC), Switzerland Caisse de prévoyance du personnel de l'Etat du Valais (CPVAL), Switzerland Caisse intercommunale de pensions (CIP), Switzerland Caisse paritaire de prévoyance de l'industrie et de la construction (CPPIC), Switzerland CAP Prévoyance, Switzerland CCAP Caisse Cantonale d'Assurance Populaire, Switzerland

CIEPP - Caisse Inter-Entreprises de Prévoyance Professionnelle, Switzerland Etablissement Cantonal d'Assurance (ECA VAUD), Switzerland Fondation de la métallurgie vaudoise du bâtiment (FMVB), Switzerland Fondation de prévoyance Artes & Comoedia, Switzerland Fondation de prévoyance du Groupe BNP PARIBAS en Suisse, Switzerland Fondation Leenaards. Switzerland Fonds de Prévoyance de CA Indosuez (Suisse) SA, Switzerland Nest Sammelstiftung, Switzerland Pensionskasse Bank CIC (Schweiz), Switzerland Pensionskasse Basel-Stadt, Switzerland Pensionskasse Bühler AG Uzwil, Switzerland Pensionskasse Caritas, Switzerland Pensionskasse der Stadt Winterthur, Switzerland Pensionskasse Pro Infirmis, Switzerland Pensionskasse Römisch-katholische Landeskirche des Kantons Luzern, Switzerland Pensionskasse SRG SSR, Switzerland Pensionskasse Stadt Luzern, Switzerland Pensionskasse Unia, Switzerland Prévoyance Santé Valais (PRESV), Switzerland prévoyance.ne, Switzerland Profelia Fondation de prévoyance, Switzerland Prosperita Stiftung für die berufliche Vorsorge, Switzerland **Retraites Populaires, Switzerland** Secunda Sammelstiftung, Switzerland St. Galler Pensionskasse, Switzerland Stiftung Abendrot, Switzerland Terre des hommes, Switzerland Université de Genève (UNIGE), Switzerland

# INVESTOR EXPECTATIONS ON CORPORATE LOBBYING ON CLIMATE CHANGE

# September 2019

# Introduction:

- Recognizing positive steps taken and commitments made by companies like Shell, BP and Anglo-American to meet investor expectations on corporate lobbying on climate change, these expectations have drawn from previous work by the IIGCC, PRI, and other European investors and are updated to reflect recent developments following engagement with companies<sup>1</sup>.
- 2. The expectations follow an engagement programme jointly led by AP7, BNP Paribas Asset Management and the Church of England Pensions Board in Europe.

### The Goal:

3. Concerned investors are initiating a dedicated engagement program to address corporate climate lobbying and lobbying by trade associations to ensure alignment with Articles 2.1(a) and 4.1 of the Paris Agreement.

Our goal is to expand focus on the issue of corporate climate lobbying and drive improved corporate behavior in a concerted manner before the next major UN climate negotiations in 2020.

# INVESTOR EXPECTATIONS:

Our expectation is that when companies engage with public policy makers, they will support cost-effective policy measures to mitigate climate change risks and support an orderly transition to a low carbon economy. We believe that companies should be consistent in their policy engagement in all geographic regions and that they should ensure any engagement conducted on their behalf or with their support is aligned with an interest in a safe climate, in turn protecting the long-term value in our portfolios across all sectors and asset classes. The following expectations are informed by the Principles for Responsible Investment report into corporate lobbying, as well as recent engagements on the issue, and the Global Reporting Initiative's Standards for Public Policy Reports.

# INVESTOR PRINCIPLES ON LOBBYING:

As long-term institutional investors, we expect those companies that engage with policy makers directly or indirectly through trade associations, lobbying organizations described as charitable organizations that include policy advocacy, or think-tanks **taking positions on climate change-related** issues to:

# I. Lobby Positively in Line with the Paris Agreement

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> See PRI (2018) *Converging on climate lobbying: Aligning corporate lobbying with investor expectations.* Available online at: <u>https://www.unpri.org/climate-change/converging-on-climate-lobbying-aligning-corporate-practice-with-investor-expectations-/3174.article?adredir=1</u>

Support and lobby for effective measures across all areas of public policy that aim to mitigate climate change risks and limit temperature rise to well below 2 degrees Celsius. This support should apply to all engagement conducted by the company in all geographic regions, and to policy engagement conducted indirectly via third party organizations acting on the company's behalf or with the company's financial support.

# ii. Establish Robust Governance Procedures

Establish robust governance processes to ensure that all direct and indirect public policy engagement supported by the company is aligned with the company's climate change commitments and supports appropriate policy measures to mitigate climate risks. We expect companies to establish a clear framework that has:

- Board oversight: Ensuring accountability by assigning responsibility for governance at board and senior management level.
- Monitoring and Review: Establishing processes for monitoring and reviewing climate policy engagement, including identifying all climate change policy engagement being conducted by the company either directly or indirectly, across all geographic regions.
- Consistency: Establishing a transparent process to ensure consistency between the company's public policy positions and its direct and indirect engagement with climate policy, including through third parties. This process should include an assessment of the company's working relationships with third party organizations, and define actions to be taken where material differences have been identified to ensure alignment.

# iii. Act When Unaligned

- Act in situations where policy engagement is not aligned with company policy, or with the goals of the Paris Agreement. For engagement undertaken on the company's behalf or with its financial support by third party organisations, actions could include:
- Making clear public statements where there is a material difference between the company and third-party organization's position;
- Working within the organization to make the case for constructive engagement;
- Requiring the organization to stop lobbying on issues where there is not alignment amongst all members;
- Discontinuing membership or support for the organization; and
- Forming proactive coalitions to counter the organization's lobbying.

# iv. Be Transparent

- The governance framework established under principle II should be disclosed to ensure investor and public confidence in the practice and processes of the company. Actions undertaken in accordance with principle III should also be made public. Appropriate reporting could include details of:
- The company's position on climate change and policies to mitigate climate risks;
- The company's direct and indirect lobbying on climate change policies;
- The company's membership in, or support for, third party organisations that engage on climate change issues (including political organisations);

- The specific climate change policy positions adopted by these third-party organizations, including discussion of whether these align with the company's climate change policies and positions; and
- The assessment that the company has made of the material impact of lobbying by the organization taking a contrary position to the public position of the company.