



**OFFICE OF THE ILLINOIS STATE TREASURER
MICHAEL W. FRERICHS**

**Sustainability
Investment Policy Statement**

Effective 6/24/2020

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.0 Purpose	3
2.0 Authority	3
3.0 Philosophy	3
4.0 Corporate Governance and Leadership Factors	4
5.0 Environmental Factors	7
6.0 Social Capital Factors	8
7.0 Human Capital Factors	9
8.0 Business Model and Innovation Factors	10
9.0 Divestment	11
10.0 Potential Actions	11

Office of the Illinois State Treasurer
SUSTAINABILITY INVESTMENT POLICY STATEMENT

1.0 PURPOSE

This document sets forth the Sustainability (“Sustainability”) Investment Policy (“Policy”) for the Office of the Illinois State Treasurer (“Treasurer”).

The purpose of the Policy is to outline the sustainability factors that shall be applied by the Treasurer’s internal and external investment holdings in evaluating investment decisions and ongoing business relationships.

This Policy is designed to allow for sufficient flexibility in the execution of sustainability investment responsibilities while setting forth specific sustainability factors and industry-recognized best practices that are relevant to the Treasurer’s investment portfolio and the evolving marketplace.

The Treasurer establishes and executes this Policy in accordance with applicable local, state, and federal laws.

2.0 AUTHORITY

Pursuant to the State Treasurer Act (15 ILCS 505), Deposit of State Moneys Act (15 ILCS 520), and the Public Fund Investment Act (15 ILCS 235), the Treasurer is authorized to serve as the fiscal agent for public agencies and specific program participants for the purpose of holding and investing assets.

Pursuant to the Illinois Sustainable Investing Act (30 ILCS 238), the Treasurer shall prudently integrate sustainability factors into its investment decision-making, investment analysis, portfolio construction, risk management, due diligence and investment ownership in order to maximize anticipated financial returns, minimize projected risk, and more effectively execute its fiduciary duty.

3.0 PHILOSOPHY

The Treasurer seeks to invest all funds under its control in a manner that provides the highest risk-adjusted investment return for beneficiaries using authorized instruments. To achieve this objective, the Treasurer has a responsibility to recognize and evaluate risk factors that may have a material and relevant financial impact on the safety and/or performance of our investments.

Thus, consistent with achieving the investment objectives set forth herein, the Treasurer and its agents shall prudently integrate sustainability factors into its investment decision-making, investment analysis, portfolio construction, risk management, due diligence and investment ownership in order to maximize anticipated financial returns, minimize projected risk, and more effectively execute its fiduciary duty.

Sustainability factors shall be implemented within a framework predicated on the following:

- **Integration of Material Sustainability Factors in Internally and Externally Managed Investment Programs** – Prudent integration of material sustainability factors, including, but not limited to, (1) corporate governance and leadership, (2) environmental factors, (3) social capital, (4) human capital, and (5) business model and innovation, as components of portfolio construction, investment decision-making, investment analysis and due diligence, prospective value proposition, risk management, and investment ownership in internally and externally managed investment programs of the Treasurer, given that these tangible and intangible factors may have material and relevant financial impacts.
- **Regular Evaluation of Sustainability Factors** – Recurring annual evaluation, at a minimum, of sustainability factors to ensure the factors are relevant to the evolving marketplace.
- **Active Ownership** – Attentive oversight of investment holdings to address sustainability risks and opportunities through the exercise of proxy voting rights and direct engagement with entities, such as investment funds, portfolio companies, government bodies, and other organizations.
- **Additional Relevant and Financially Material Factors** – Consideration of other relevant factors such as legal, regulatory, and reputational risks that contribute to an optimal risk management framework and are necessary to protect and create long-term investment value.

The sustainability analysis adds an additional layer of rigor to the fundamental analytical approach and can be used to evaluate past performance and be used for future planning and decision-making. Sustainability accounting has both confirmatory and predictive value, thus, it can be used to evaluate past performance and be used for future planning and decision-making. As a complement to financial accounting, it provides a more complete view of an investment fund or portfolio company's performance on material factors likely to impact its long-term value.

4.0 CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND LEADERSHIP FACTORS

The Treasurer supports board accountability, transparency, sensible executive compensation programs, robust shareholder rights, and ethical conduct as key governance factors. The Treasurer advocates for policies and practices in support of these factors. Corporate governance and leadership factors also involve the management of issues that are inherent to the business model or common practice in the industry and that are in potential conflict with the interest of broader stakeholder groups (e.g., government, community, customers, and employees), and therefore create a potential liability or, worse, a limitation or removal of a license to operate. This includes compliance, and regulatory and political influence.

a) Board Accountability

The board of directors is elected by the company's shareholders and is accountable to them. The role of the board is to represent shareholders' interests in their oversight of corporate management.

The board of directors must maintain a level of independence from management to exercise proper oversight. The Treasurer considers an independent director to be one who: (1) is not an executive of the company, (2) does not have direct familial ties with executive management, (3) does not have significant business ties to the company, and (4) is not a significant shareholder.

b) Board Diversity

Research demonstrates that a diverse board of directors is better equipped to ensure multiple perspectives are considered and better positioned to enhance long-term company performance within a marketplace defined by extensive diversity and multiculturalism. Diversity is inclusive of gender, race/ethnicity, skill sets, professional backgrounds, and LGBT status.

c) Transparency

With due respect to proprietary information, companies should strive to be transparent in their business operations. Disclosure concerning matters of shareholder or public interest, such as those items outlined in this Policy, provides useful information and mitigates risks inherent with undisclosed matters.

Transparency and accuracy in the reporting of fees to the Treasurer from service providers is also essential to secure competitive rates.

d) Sensible Executive Compensation Programs

Excessive executive compensation programs may signal board entrenchment and exacerbate income inequality. Executive compensation should be reflective of company performance and within a reasonable range of compensation levels at industry leading companies.

The Treasurer believes an annual vote on executive compensation is a better option than a biennial or triennial vote because it affords shareholders the opportunity to provide the company's compensation committees more timely feedback about the appropriateness of executive pay levels, which typically are decided on an annual basis.

e) Robust Shareholder Rights

Shareholders should be given tools to convey their perspectives to the board of directors, which serves as their representative body. Tools that provide shareholders with the appropriate mechanisms for communication include the ability to (1) call a special meeting, (2) act by written consent, and (3) have access to the proxy to nominate their own candidate(s) for the board assuming certain threshold requirements.

In addition, a majority voting standard for the election of directors ensures that directors have the confidence of their shareholders.

Boards of directors should also be declassified to enable shareholders to weigh-in on each director on an annual basis.

f) Ethical Conduct and Business Practices

Companies conducting business with or in receipt of investments from the Treasurer must comply with all laws and regulations under which they are governed. Further, the Treasurer expects companies to meet (if not exceed) all applicable ethical and professional standards of conduct.

Companies that seek short-term profits by taking disreputable or anti-social actions may risk long-term sustainability. Prior corporate scandals have clearly demonstrated that profiting from harm caused to others impacts a company's reputation and bottom line. The Treasurer expects companies to operate within the bounds of the law and ethical norms, particularly when it comes to responsible drug pricing, safe working conditions, and the sale and distribution of drugs, weapons and other products and services that may cause harm.

g) Systemic Risk Management

The increased globalization and interconnectedness of the marketplace has become a central concern of state, federal, and international regulators. This is particularly relevant to companies in the financial sector and insurance industry, with many designated or at-risk of being designated as systemically important institutions. This designation can subject firms to stricter regulatory standards, credit limitations, and increased oversight by government officials. In an effort to demonstrate how these risks are being managed, companies should enhance their disclosures of key metrics, risk exposures, and additional aspects of systemic risk management.

h) Management of the Regulatory Environment and Political Influence

A company's approach to engaging with regulators and lawmakers may have the potential for long-term adverse or opportunistic impacts on investors. While lobbying and political contributions can benefit the strategic interests of a company, board-level policies and processes should exist to ensure that such activities are aligned with shareholders' long-term interests, especially in cases where conflicts may exist between corporate and public interests. While shareholders understand that corporate participation in the political process can benefit companies strategically and contribute to value creation, lobbying and corporate political giving has the potential to create risks to shareholder value through reputational harm and through undesirable reactions by employees and customers. Companies should have appropriate internal controls in place to monitor, manage, and disclose political contributions and related risks, as well as to ensure that corporate participation in lobbying and political activities effectively aligns with the long-term strategy and shareholders' interest.

i) Critical Incident Risk Management

A company's use of risk management systems, scenario-planning, and business continuity planning can help to identify, minimize, and/or prevent the occurrence of high-impact incidents that may affect shareholder value. Companies should develop and disclose critical incident risk management plans, including relevant safety systems, technology controls, and workforce protections, to better inform investors as to the implications of

such events occurring and the potential long-term impacts to the company and its shareholders.

5.0 ENVIRONMENTAL FACTORS

Environmental stewardship is a shared responsibility. Furthermore, environmental and climate-related factors may have adverse financial impacts on the Treasurer's investment portfolio. Accordingly, the Treasurer recognizes that impacts on the environment, either through the use of non-renewable natural resources as inputs to the factors of energy production or through harmful releases into the environment are key factors for consideration in identifying a company's value proposition and risk exposures.

a) Greenhouse Gas Emissions

Greenhouse gas emissions contribute to climate change, and create additional regulatory compliance costs and risks due to climate change mitigation policies. Companies that cost-effectively reduce greenhouse gas emissions from their operations by implementing industry-leading technologies and processes can create operational efficiency. They can mitigate the impact on value from increased fuel costs and regulations that limit — or put a price on — carbon emissions, which are occurring as regulatory and public concerns about climate change are increasing in the U.S. and globally.

b) Air Quality, Energy and Fuel Management

Companies should consider how the environment and related regulation will positively or negatively impact operations and vice versa. Routine assessment of the nexus of operations, natural resource dependency, and the environment may be communicated to investors through sustainability reports. Quantitative reporting on environmental risks, policies, performance, and goals assures investors that companies are aware of potential opportunities and/or risks and are seeking to act upon them appropriately.

c) Water and Waste Management

Impacts of water-intensive production and potential contamination of water resources include higher costs, liabilities, and lost revenues due to curtailment or suspension of operations. Similarly, companies that reduce, recycle, and effectively manage their waste streams lower their regulatory and litigation risks, remediation liabilities, and operating costs.

d) Climate Competence

Climate change has serious risk implications for investors and the businesses in which they invest. Shifts in temperature, weather patterns, and rising sea levels impact supply chain, consumer demand, physical capital, and communities. Extreme weather events are occurring on a more frequent basis and with increasing intensity. Events such as droughts, floods, and storms may lead to scarce resources and disruptions in operations and workforce availability. A company's awareness of environmental risks and opportunities may have a significant impact on its operational capacity, financial position, and long-term value creation. With new environmental technologies, regulations, and business strategies rapidly developing (e.g., carbon pollution regulations and energy efficiency opportunities), it is important that companies maintain the knowledge and innovation to adapt and

capitalize on these evolving changes. This may include, among other strategies, maintaining a board member or senior executive with expertise or ample experience with environmental science and technology.

6.0 SOCIAL CAPITAL FACTORS

Social capital factors address the management of relationships with key outside parties, such as customers, local communities, the public, and the government. They may impact investment returns, particularly if companies become involved in controversies that pose risks to their reputation. Human rights, access and affordability, customer welfare, data security and customer privacy, fair disclosure and labeling, and fair marketing and advertising, and community reinvestment are key social capital factors that warrant attention.

a) Human Rights

Companies have a legal duty to adhere to internationally recognized labor and human rights standards. Beyond the legal requirements, companies risk losing their social license to operate if they contribute to human rights abuses throughout their supply chain. The United Nations' "Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights" sets out corporations' responsibility to respect human rights. Companies should regularly assess and seek to minimize any negative impact caused by their operations.

b) Consumer Welfare

Companies have a material interest to provide products and services that do not expose their customers to undue physical or mental harm, deception, manipulation, exploitation, or unlawful conduct. This can expose companies to significant legal, regulatory, reputational, or other financial risks that jeopardize shareholder value. In addition, research demonstrates that companies that employ socially responsible business practices have the potential to create several distinct forms of value for customers, including positive marketing outcomes and subsequent financial performance. As such, this enhances firm value and long-term shareholder value.

c) Product Quality, Safety, and Labelling

Companies have a material interest in ensuring the safety, proper labeling, and quality of their products. Companies that limit the incidence of safety, deceptive marketing, or other product claims will be better positioned to reduce regulatory, legal, and reputational expenses and protect shareholder value. Conversely, companies with poor quality, safety, and labelling standards may experience revenue loss due to damaged reputation, product recalls, lawsuits, or fines.

d) Data Security and Consumer Privacy

Consumers trust companies with their personal and financial data. Companies that prevent data breaches and effectively manage data security and consumer privacy avoid harming brand value, reduce contingent liabilities, and maintain market share. Furthermore, companies that address data security threats and vulnerabilities through prevention, detection, and remediation are better positioned for customer acquisition and retention and may reduce extraordinary expenses from breaches of data security.

e) Community Relations and Community Reinvestment

Community relations are a fundamental, strategic aspect of business for public and private corporations. They are not only a barometer of image and market presence across the world. It helps attract and retain top employees, positions itself positively among customers and, increasingly improves its position in the market. Positive, proactive community relations can translate into improved financial performance.

The Treasurer wants to encourage an open and effective banking system that grows local communities and boosts Illinois' economy. Pursuant to the Deposit of State Moneys Act (15 ILCS 520/16.3), the Treasurer is authorized to consider a financial institution's record and current level of financial commitment to its local community when deciding whether to deposit State funds in that financial institution. As such, the Treasurer shall consider applicable firms' level of community reinvestment when undertaking investment decision-making.

Furthermore, all banking and financial firms seeking to transact in investment activity with the Treasurer shall possess a minimum Community Reinvestment Act (CRA) rating of Satisfactory.

f) Access & Affordability

A company's ability to ensure broad access to its products and services, specifically in the context of underserved markets and/or population groups, can contribute to long-term value creation or expose the company adverse reputational, regulatory, or legal impacts. This includes the management of issues related to universal needs, such as the accessibility and affordability of health care, financial services, utilities, education, and telecommunications.

7.0 HUMAN CAPITAL FACTORS

Companies that consider their workforce to be an important asset to deliver long-term value should manage their human capital with as much care and analytical insight as they manage their tangible and financial capital. It includes issues that affect the productivity of employees, such as employee engagement, diversity, incentives and compensation, as well as the attraction and retention of employees in highly competitive or constrained markets for specific talent, skills, or education. Employers should respect the right of their workers to organize under collective bargaining agreements and should provide a working environment that upholds health and safety standards.

a) Labor Relations and Labor Practices

Companies benefit from taking a long-term perspective on managing human capital. This relates to practices involving fair compensation, workers' rights, worker health and safety, and workforce productivity enhancements through skills and capacity building, research and development, and capital investments. Companies that subvert the law of widely adopted international standards for labor practices are exposed to operational, legal, regulatory, and reputational risks that may create roadblocks for both its existing operations as well as efforts to expand to other markets. Conversely, companies with fair

labor policies and practices may be at a competitive advantage in attracting and employing an effective workforce, leading to a healthy company culture, stronger customer loyalty, increased revenue, and reduced costs.

b) Employee Recruitment, Development, and Retention

The evolution of U.S. business into a true service-based economy has led many companies to espouse that their employees are their most valuable asset. As key contributors to value creation, skilled workers are highly sought after, and many companies face challenges recruiting and retaining those assets. Shortages in skilled domestic employees have created intense competition to acquire and maintain highly skilled employees, as evidenced by high employee turnover rates. Companies that improve employee compensation, benefits, training, and engagement are likely to improve retention and productivity, which positively contributes to profitability and long-term value creation.

c) Equity, Diversity and Inclusion

The U.S. population is undergoing a massive demographic shift, with an increase in minority populations. Companies can benefit from ensuring that their company culture and hiring and promotion practices embrace the building of a diverse workforce at management and lower-ranking positions. Companies that respond to this demographic trend and employ staff who will recognize the needs of these populations may be better able to capture demand from these segments, which can provide companies a competitive advantage.

8.0 BUSINESS MODEL & INNOVATION FACTORS

The impact of sustainability issues on innovation and business models including corporate strategy and other innovations in the production process are integral to a company's financial and operating performance. The ability of a company to plan and forecast viable opportunities and risks to its business model is critically important to its ability to create long-term shareholder value.

a) Lifecycle Impacts of Products and Services

Companies face increasing challenges associated with environmental and social externalities attributed to product manufacturing, transport, use and disposal. Rapid obsolescence of products exacerbates the externalities. Addressing product lifecycle concerns such as hazardous material inputs, energy efficiency, and waste, particularly through product design and end-of-life management could contribute to increased shareholder value through improved competitive positioning, greater market share, and lower regulatory, demand, and supply chain risks.

b) Business Model Resilience

A company or industry's capacity to manage risks and opportunities related to social, environmental, and political transitions can positively or adversely impact long-term investors. Long-term business model planning ensures that companies are responsive to evolving environmental, social, and political conditions that may fundamentally alter business models and shareholder value. This includes, for example, responsiveness and

disclosure related to the transition to a low-carbon economy and the growth of new markets among underserved populations.

c) Supply Chain Management & Materials Sourcing

Supply chain management and sustainable materials sourcing is crucial for companies to prevent operational disruptions, avoid legal or regulatory action, protect brand value, and improve revenues. Sourcing from suppliers that have high quality standards, employ environmentally sustainable methods, honor labor rights, and avoid socially damaging practices better positions companies to protect themselves from supply disruptions and maintain shareholder value. In addition, appropriate supplier screening, monitoring, and engagement is necessary to ensure continued future supply and to minimize potential lifecycle impacts on company operations. Furthermore, it is important that companies manage the resiliency of materials supply chains to avoid disruptions and long-term risk exposures, including developing and disclosing plans for product design, maximizing resource efficiency in manufacturing, making R&D investments in substitute materials, using recycled or renewable materials, and/or reducing the use of key materials.

9.0 DIVESTMENT

The Treasurer opposes any policy or strategy that would direct the Treasurer to sell an individual or group of securities in order to achieve a goal that is not primarily investment-related. The Treasurer may consider divesting only in cases where the financial or reputational risks from a company's policies or activities are so great that maintaining the investment security is no longer prudent.

The Treasurer firmly believes that active and direct engagement is the best way to resolve issues and risk factors. The Treasurer's policy of engagement over divestment is based on several key considerations: (1) divestment would eliminate our standing and rights as a shareholder and foreclose further engagement; (2) divestment would likely have a negligible impact on portfolio companies or the market; (3) divestment could result in increased costs and short-term losses; and (4) divestment could compromise the Treasurer's investment strategies and negatively affect performance. For these reasons, we believe that divestment does not offer the Treasurer an optimal strategy for changing the policies and practices of portfolio companies, nor is it the best means to produce long-term value.

10.0 POTENTIAL ACTIONS

It is necessary to remain informed about issues that are likely to be of interest to other investors during the review process, including the Treasurer. The total mix of information available through the existence of, or potential for, impacts on factors include: (1) direct financial impacts and risk; (2) legal, regulatory, and policy drivers; (3) industry norms, best practices, and competitive drivers; (4) stakeholder concerns that could lead to financial impact; and (5) opportunities for innovation.

Potential actions will identify issues that can or do affect operational and financial performance by analyzing the three primary drivers of financial impact: (1) revenues and costs; (2) assets and liabilities; and (3) cost of capital or risk profile. Revenue in market size or pricing power of a company will be tracked to identify trends. Costs that can impact a company's profitability include

recurring costs such as COGS, R&D, CAPEX or any other capital expenditures will be monitored. Issues, like climate change, that can impair tangible and intangible assets, such as PP&E and brand value are part of the review. Sustainability issues have the potential to create contingencies and provisions, or impact pensions and other liabilities and must be part of the overall assessment. The financial condition of a company can be impacted by sustainable factors that will raise the risk profile and create uncertainty in time capital needs.

The Treasurer may undertake various activities to advance the aforementioned key sustainability factors, including, but not limited to:

1. **Internal and External Investment Management** – Prudently integrating sustainability criteria as components of portfolio construction, investment decision-making, investment analysis and due diligence, prospective value proposition, risk management, and investment ownership for internally-managed and externally-managed investment programs;
2. **Proxy Voting** – Casting proxy votes in accordance with fiduciary duty and within policy guidelines;
3. **Engagements** – Engaging corporate decision-makers directly on sustainability risks and opportunities to protect shareholder value;
4. **Shareholder Proposals** – Submitting shareholder proposals to companies for inclusion in the annual stockholders' general meeting;
5. **Policy Advocacy** – Weighing in on the public policymaking process as it pertains to the investment landscape generally and sustainability issues specifically; and
6. **Coalitions** – Working in coalition with other institutional investors and with thought-leadership organizations.